

REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and following remarks, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

By this Amendment, claims 49, 62, and 65 have been amended. Accordingly, it is submitted that claims 49-67 are currently pending in this application.

It is noted that the Examiner has objected to the Abstract for the reasons contained in paragraph 3 on page 2 of the Office Action.

Accordingly, by this Amendment, a new Abstract is submitted which clearly complies with the requirements of MPEP § 608.01(b). Thus, it is submitted that the new Abstract is in proper form.

Next, it is noted that the Examiner has rejected claims 49-67 under 35 USC § 101 for the reasons contained in paragraph 5 on page 3 of the Office Action. Particularly, the Examiner has alleged that the claims do not constitute statutory subject matter due to the Examiner's assertion that the claims do not produce a concrete result.

The Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's aforementioned 35 USC § 101 rejection. Particularly, the Applicant strongly submits that at least the feature of causing a visual presentation to be displayed on a display screen, as clearly recited in each of independent claims 49, 62, and 65, by itself clearly recites statutory subject matter since this feature obviously provides a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

Nonetheless, without intending to acquiesce to the Examiner's aforementioned 35 USC § 101 rejection, the Applicant has amended each of independent claims 49, 62, and 65 so as to recite "wherein the predetermined introduction period of time is less than 0.2 second." It is submitted that this feature is clearly supported by at least line 3 of page 5 of the originally filed specification. Thus, the Applicant adamantly submits that each of newly amended independent claims 49, 62, and 65 clearly recites statutory subject matter.


In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that each of newly amended independent claims 49, 62, and 65, as well as claims 50-61, 63-64, and 66-67 dependent therefrom, clearly are allowable.

Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application now in fact, clearly is in condition for allowance and the Examiner therefore is requested to pass this case to issue.

In the event, however, that the Examiner has any comments or suggestions of a nature necessary to place this case in condition for allowance, then the Examiner is kindly requested to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney by telephone to properly resolve any such matters.

Respectfully submitted,

Heinz GÖD

By: 

Dhiren R. Odedra
Registration No. 41,227
Attorney for Applicant

DRO/gtg
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
August 7, 2003